

# Brownian Motion Penetrating Fractals

## An Application of the Trace Theorem of Besov Spaces

Takashi Kumagai<sup>1</sup>

*Graduate School of Informatics, Kyoto University, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8501, Japan*

E-mail: [kumagai@i.kyoto-u.ac.jp](mailto:kumagai@i.kyoto-u.ac.jp)

*Communicated by Daniel Stroock*

Received December 20, 1998; revised July 23, 1999; accepted July 27, 1999

For a closed connected set  $F$  in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ , assume that there is a local regular Dirichlet form (a symmetric diffusion process) on  $F$  whose domain is included in a Lipschitz space or a Besov space on  $F$ . Under some condition for the order of the space and the Newtonian 1-capacity of  $F$ , we prove that there exists a symmetric diffusion process on  $\mathbf{R}^n$  which moves like the process on  $F$  and like Brownian motion on  $\mathbf{R}^n$  outside  $F$ . As an application, we will show that when  $F$  is a nested fractal or a Sierpinski carpet whose Hausdorff dimension is greater than  $n - 2$ , we can construct Brownian motion penetrating the fractal. For the proof, we apply the technique developed in the theory of Besov spaces. © 2000 Academic Press

*Key Words:* Lipschitz space; Besov space; capacity; trace theorem; Dirichlet form; diffusions on fractals.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Consider a situation that some media  $F$  is located on a Euclidean space  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . Is there a “natural” diffusion process which moves following the heat transfer of  $F$  inside the media and moves like an ordinary Brownian motion outside the media? We will call such a process a penetrating process, if exists. When the heat transfer on  $F$  is “similar” to that on the Euclidean space, one might construct the process by some perturbation arguments. But what happens when the behavior of the heat transfer on  $F$  is completely different from ordinary Brownian motion, for example when  $F$  is a fractal?

The first attempt for this problem is by Lindström [21], which solves the question affirmatively when  $F$  is a Sierpinski gasket. More precisely, given a pair of positive functions  $h_1, h_2$  where  $h_1$  is defined and harmonic (w.r.t. the Laplacian on the gasket) inside the gasket and  $h_2$  is defined and harmonic outside the gasket, he constructs a penetrating process whose

<sup>1</sup> Research partly supported by JSPS program.

equilibrium measure is determined by  $h_1$  and  $h_2$ . He uses nonstandard analysis for the construction and his process is not necessarily symmetric. After that, Kusuoka [18] works on this problem by an analytical approach using Dirichlet forms when  $F$  is a nested fractal, a class of finitely ramified fractals whose Laplace operator and Brownian motion is well studied. He makes his attention to the Hölder continuity of the elements of the domain of the form on  $F$  and tries to extend the functions to  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . Unfortunately, he needs several strong conditions including the condition of the Hölder order for his arguments to work, and the condition does not hold for all nested fractals. On the other hand, there is a recent development of the theory of Besov spaces on a closed subset  $F$  of  $\mathbf{R}^n$  (see [12, 15]; see also [10, 23], etc. for related works). In [12], Besov space on  $F$  is characterized as a trace of the Besov space on  $\mathbf{R}^n$  to  $F$ . Related to the theory, the domains of the Dirichlet forms on nested fractals are characterized by some Lipschitz spaces ([13, 22]).

Motivated by the development, we will answer the original question affirmatively when the domain of the Dirichlet form on  $F$  is included in a Lipschitz space or a Besov space on  $F$ . I.e., we will construct a local regular Dirichlet form on  $\mathbf{R}^n$  whose restriction on  $F$  is the Dirichlet form on  $F$  and the restriction outside  $F$  is the standard quadratic form driven by the Laplacian on  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . Moreover, we will prove that when the Newtonian 1-capacity of  $F$  is positive, the Dirichlet form on  $\mathbf{R}^n$  is irreducible, and the corresponding diffusion process penetrates into  $F$ .

In Section 2, we will explain our framework and the main theorems for the penetrating process. In Section 3, we will prove key propositions of the main theorems. For the purpose, we apply the extension operator from a Besov space on  $F$  to that on  $\mathbf{R}^n$  used in the trace theorem of the Besov space. In Section 4, we will explain nested fractals, Sierpinski carpets and the Dirichlet forms on them, and show that the assumption of the domains of the forms mentioned above holds for the cases, by characterizing the domains.

After the manuscript was firstly submitted, we were informed of the paper [14] concerning this topic. There the Dirichlet form corresponding to the penetrating process is constructed for the case of 2-dimensional Sierpinski gasket by a slightly different way. In Theorem 2.7, we will show that his Dirichlet form and ours are the same under a fairly wide framework.

## 2. FRAMEWORK AND MAIN RESULTS

Let  $F \subset \mathbf{R}^n$  be a closed connected set. We assume that there is a Borel measure  $\mu$  on  $F$  such that

$$\mu(B(x, 2r)) \leq c_{2.1} \mu(B(x, r)) \quad \forall x \in F, \quad r > 0, \quad (2.1)$$

$$c_{2.2} \leq \mu(B(x, 1)) \leq c_{2.3} \quad \forall x \in F, \quad (2.2)$$

for some  $c_{2.1}, c_{2.2}, c_{2.3} > 0$ . Here  $B(x, r) = \{y \in \mathbf{R}^n : \|x - y\| < r\}$  where  $\|\cdot\|$  is an Euclidean norm. (2.1) is often called the doubling condition. Under this assumption, we can easily prove the following.

**LEMMA 2.1.** *There exist positive constants  $c_{2.4}, c_{2.5}$  and  $s \leq n$  such that the following hold.*

$$\mu(B(x, r)) \geq c_{2.4} r^s \quad \forall x \in F, \quad r \leq 1, \quad (2.3)$$

$$\mu(B(x, r)) \leq c_{2.5} r^s \quad \forall x \in F, \quad r \geq 1. \quad (2.4)$$

Note that by (2.3), we see that  $F$  has a Hausdorff dimension  $\leq s$ .

Let  $\beta$  be a real number such that

$$1 - \frac{n-s}{2} < \beta. \quad (2.5)$$

We assume that there is a local regular Dirichlet form  $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$  on  $L^2(F, d\mu)$  so that

$$\mathcal{F} \subset \text{Lip}(\beta, 2, \infty)(F), \quad (2.6)$$

where the *Lipschitz space*  $\text{Lip}(\beta, 2, \infty)(F)$  is a set of  $f \in L^2(F, d\mu)$  such that

$$\sup_{v \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}} \alpha^{v(2\beta+s)} \iint_{\|x-y\| < c_0 \alpha^{-v}} |f(x) - f(y)|^2 d\mu(x) d\mu(y) < \infty$$

for some  $\alpha > 1, c_0 > 0$ . Note that it is easy to show that different values on the constants  $c_0$  and  $\alpha$  give equivalent spaces as long as the former is positive and the latter is greater than 1. Clearly,  $\text{Lip}(\beta', 2, \infty)(F) \subset \text{Lip}(\beta, 2, \infty)(F)$  for  $\beta \leq \beta'$ .

Let  $\mathcal{D}_0$  be the set of connected components of  $\mathbf{R}^n \setminus F$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  be a subset of  $\mathcal{D}_0$ . Define  $F_{\mathcal{D}} = F \cup (\bigcup_{D \in \mathcal{D}} D)$  which is obviously a closed subset in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . Under the above assumption, we define a bilinear form  $(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}, \mathcal{D}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}))$  in  $L^2(F_{\mathcal{D}}, d\tilde{m})$ , where  $d\tilde{m} = dx + d\mu$ , as follows,

$$\mathcal{D}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}) = \left\{ u \in C_0(F_{\mathcal{D}}) : u|_F \in \mathcal{F}, \sum_{D \in \mathcal{D}} a_D \int_D |\nabla u(x)|^2 dx < \infty \right\},$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}(u, v) = \mathcal{E}(u|_F, v|_F) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{D \in \mathcal{D}} a_D \int_D \nabla u(x) \nabla v(x) dx \quad \forall u, v \in \mathcal{D}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}).$$

Here  $\nabla u(x)$ ,  $x \in D$  is a derivative of  $u$  on  $D$  in the distribution sense, and  $\{a_D\}_{D \in \mathcal{D}}$  is a sequence of positive constants which satisfies  $\sup_{D \in \mathcal{D}} a_D < \infty$

(for the proof of Theorem 2.3, we can relax this condition, see Remark 3.3) and  $C_0(F_{\mathcal{D}})$  is a space of continuous functions on  $F_{\mathcal{D}}$  with compact support. Then the following is obvious.

- LEMMA 2.2. 1.  $(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}, \mathcal{D}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}))$  is closable in  $L^2(F_{\mathcal{D}}, d\tilde{m})$ .  
 2.  $\mathcal{D}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}})$  is an algebra.  
 3.  $C_0^\infty(D) \subset \mathcal{D}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}})$  for each  $D \in \mathcal{D}$ .

Now, denote  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} = \overline{\mathcal{D}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}})}^{\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1}$  so that  $(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}})$  is the smallest extension of  $(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}, \mathcal{D}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}))$ , where  $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1(f, f) = \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(f, f) + \|f\|_{L^2}^2$ . Our first main theorem is the following.

THEOREM 2.3.  $(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}})$  is a local regular Dirichlet form on  $L^2(F_{\mathcal{D}}, d\tilde{m})$ .

Note that by the general theory ([8]), there is a one to one correspondence between a local regular Dirichlet form on  $L^2(F_{\mathcal{D}}, d\tilde{m})$  and a  $\tilde{m}$ -symmetric diffusion process on  $F_{\mathcal{D}}$  except some exceptional set of starting points. We will denote  $\{\tilde{X}_t\}_{t \geq 0}$  the diffusion process corresponding to  $(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}, \mathcal{D}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}))$ . Note also that when the original form  $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$  is strong local, then  $(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}, \mathcal{D}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}))$  is also strong local. The key proposition for the proof of this theorem is the following.

PROPOSITION 2.4. There is an extension map  $\xi: \mathcal{F} \cap C_0(F) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}})$  such that  $\xi f|_F = f$ .

We will prove this in the next section. Here we assume this proposition and prove the main theorem.

*Proof of Theorem 2.3.* It is clear that  $(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}})$  is a local Dirichlet form. For the regularity, it is enough to show that  $\mathcal{D}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}})$  is dense in  $C_0(F_{\mathcal{D}})$  w.r.t.  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ -norm and it is dense in  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$  w.r.t.  $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1$ -norm. But the latter is clear as  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} = \overline{\mathcal{D}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}})}^{\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1}$ . For the former, we need to show by Stone–Weierstrass' theorem that for each  $x, y \in F_{\mathcal{D}}$  with  $x \neq y$ , there is an  $f \in \mathcal{D}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}})$  such that  $f(x) \neq f(y)$ , since  $\mathcal{D}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}})$  is an algebra. If either of  $x$  or  $y$  is in  $F^c$ , this holds by Lemma 2.2.3. For the case  $x, y \in F$ , there exists  $g \in \mathcal{F} \cap C_0(F)$  such that  $g(x) \neq g(y)$ , as  $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$  is regular. But then, by Proposition 2.4,  $\xi g \in \mathcal{D}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}})$  and  $\xi g(x) \neq \xi g(y)$  and the proof is completed. ■

The Besov space  $B_{\beta}^{2,q}(F)$  is defined as the class of functions  $f$  on  $F$  such that the following norm is finite:

$$\|f\|_{L^2}^2 + \left\{ \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \alpha^{v(\beta-n/2)} \left\{ \iint_{\|x-y\| < c_0 \alpha^{-v}} \frac{|f(x)-f(y)|^2}{n_v(x) n_v(y)} d\mu(x) d\mu(y) \right\}^{1/2} \right\}^q \right\}^{1/q},$$

where  $n_v(x)$  denotes  $\mu(B(x, \alpha^{-v}))$ . Note that when  $\mu$  satisfies (2.9) and  $\beta \notin \mathbf{Z}$ , then  $\text{Lip}(\beta, 2, \infty)(F) = B_{\beta + (n-s)/2}^{2, \infty}(F)$  (p. 125, Proposition 3 in [15]). Our first main theorem still holds when the domain of the original form  $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$  is included in  $B_{\beta}^{2, q}(F)$ .

**PROPOSITION 2.5.** *Assume (2.1), (2.2) and the following.*

$$\mu(B(x, r)) \leq c_{2.6} r^d \quad \forall x \in F, \quad r \leq 1, \quad (2.7)$$

for some  $0 \leq d \leq s$ ,  $c_{2.6} > 0$ . Assume also that instead of (2.6) the following holds,

$$\mathcal{F} \subset B_{\beta}^{2, q}(F) \quad (2.8)$$

for some  $1 \leq q \leq \infty$  and  $\beta > 1 + s - d$ . Then, Theorem 2.3 holds.

For the proof, we only need to modify the proof of Proposition 2.4, which we will mention in the next section.

In [14], A. Jonsson constructs the Dirichlet form corresponding to the penetrating process into the 2-dimensional Sierpinski gasket. Our next theorem is that his Dirichlet form and ours coincide under a wider framework. For the purpose, we will consider the case  $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}_0$  (thus  $F_{\mathcal{D}} = \mathbf{R}^n$ ) for simplicity and will assume the following in the rest of this section.

*Assumption 2.6.*

$$c_{2.4} r^s \leq \mu(B(x, r)) \leq c_{2.6} r^s \quad \forall x \in F, \quad r \leq 1, \quad (2.9)$$

$$0 < \inf_{D \in \mathcal{D}} a_D \leq \sup_{D \in \mathcal{D}} a_D < \infty, \quad (2.10)$$

$$m(F) = 0 \quad \text{where } m \text{ is a Lebesgue measure on } \mathbf{R}^n, \quad (2.11)$$

$$(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}) \text{ is irreducible.} \quad (2.12)$$

Note that (2.9) means both of (2.3) and (2.7) hold with  $s = d$ . Thus the Hausdorff dimension of  $F$  ( $\hat{F}$ ) is  $s$  and  $\mu$  ( $\hat{\mu}$ ) is equivalent to the Hausdorff measure. Let  $(\mathcal{E}^{\mathbf{R}^n}, W^{1,2}(\mathbf{R}^n))$  be the usual Dirichlet integral on a Sobolev space, i.e.

$$\mathcal{E}^{\mathbf{R}^n}(u, u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |\nabla u(x)|^2 dx \quad \forall u \in W^{1,2}(\mathbf{R}^n),$$

$$W^{1,2}(\mathbf{R}^n) = \{f \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^n, dx) : \nabla f \in (L^2(\mathbf{R}^n, dx))^n\}.$$

Now, when  $s > n - 2$ , we define

$$\mathcal{F}' = \{f \in W^{1,2}(\mathbf{R}^n) : f|_F \in \mathcal{F}\}.$$

Here  $f|_F$  is a pointwise restriction of  $R(f)$  to  $F$ , where

$$R(f)(x) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{m(B(x, r))} \int_{B(x, r)} f(t) dt,$$

for each  $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$  where the limit exists. Note that for  $f \in W^{1,2}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ , this limit exists quasi-everywhere (w.r.t.  $\mathcal{E}^{\mathbf{R}^n}$ ), and therefore  $\mu$ -a.e.  $x \in F$  as  $s > n - 2$  (see Corollary 5.1.14 of [1]). Thus the definition makes sense. In [14], it is proved that  $(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}, \mathcal{F}')$  with  $a_D \equiv 1/2$  is a local regular Dirichlet form for 2-dimensional gasket for  $n = 2, 3, 4$ . The next theorem includes the fact that this form and our form coincides.

**THEOREM 2.7.** *Under Assumption 2.6 and  $s > n - 2$ , it holds that*

$$\mathcal{F}' = \tilde{\mathcal{F}}.$$

Note that by this theorem, (2.10) and (2.11),  $\sum_D a_D \int_D |\nabla u|^2 dx$  and the Dirichlet integral are equivalent, i.e. the ratio of them is bounded from above and below by some positive constants independent of the choice of  $u$ . For the proof of the theorem, it is enough to show the following proposition, as  $(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}, \mathcal{F}')$  is a closed form (, which can be proved using (6.1) of [14]). Define

$$C = C_0^\infty(F^c) \oplus \{\xi f : f \in \mathcal{F}\},$$

where  $\xi$  is an extension map appeared in Proposition 2.4, whose domain can be extended to  $\mathcal{F}$  (see the next section for details).

**PROPOSITION 2.8.** *Assume Assumption 2.6 and  $s > n - 2$ . Then the following holds.*

1.  $C$  is dense in  $\mathcal{F}'$  w.r.t.  $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1$ .
2.  $C$  is dense in  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$  w.r.t.  $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1$ .

The proof will be given in the next section.

Next, we will indicate several properties of the process  $\{\tilde{X}_t\}_{t \geq 0}$  corresponding to  $(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}, \mathcal{D}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}))$ . For each  $B \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ , define

$$\sigma_B = \inf \{t \geq 0 : \tilde{X}_t \in B\}.$$

We then have the following concerning the question whether  $\tilde{X}_t$  penetrates into  $F$  or not.

**THEOREM 2.9.** *Assume Assumption 2.6 and  $s > n - 2$ . Then, for any nearly Borel set  $B$  with positive 1-capacity (w.r.t.  $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ ),*

$$\tilde{P}^x(\sigma_B < \infty) > 0 \quad \text{for q.e. } x \in \mathbf{R}^n. \quad (2.13)$$

*Especially, when  $B$  is either a subset of  $F$  whose 1-capacity w.r.t.  $\mathcal{E}$  is positive or a subset of  $\mathbf{R}^n$  whose 1-capacity w.r.t.  $\mathcal{E}^{\mathbf{R}^n}$  is positive, then (2.13) holds.*

The key proposition for the proof is the following. This proposition was obtained by discussions with Dr. M. Hino.

**PROPOSITION 2.10.** *Under Assumption 2.6, the following is equivalent.*

(a)  *$F$  is invariant w.r.t.  $(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}})$ .*

(b) *There exists  $F'$  which equals to  $F$   $\tilde{m}$ -a.e. such that  $\text{Cap}_{\mathbf{R}^n} F' = 0$  where  $\text{Cap}_{\mathbf{R}^n} F'$  is a 1-capacity of  $F'$  w.r.t.  $\mathcal{E}^{\mathbf{R}^n}$ .*

(c)  *$s \leq n - 2$ .*

Note that when  $s \leq n - 2$ , by this proposition  $F$  is invariant and the process  $(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}})$  is not irreducible. We will prove this proposition in the next section. Assuming the proposition, the proof of Theorem 2.9 is easy. Indeed, by Proposition 2.10, we see that  $F$  is not invariant w.r.t.  $(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}})$  when  $s > n - 2$ . Using (2.12) and the structure of  $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ , we see that  $(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}})$  is irreducible. Now, the theorem is a consequence of Theorem 4.6.6 in [8].

Another property of the process  $\{\tilde{X}_t\}_{t \geq 0}$  is on the decay of the heat semigroup. Let  $P_t^{\mathcal{E}}, P_t^{\tilde{\mathcal{E}}}$  ( $t > 0$ ) be semigroups corresponding to  $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ ,  $(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}})$  respectively.

**THEOREM 2.11.** *Assume Assumption 2.6 and the following,*

$$\|P_t^{\mathcal{E}}\|_{1 \rightarrow \infty} \leq c_{2.7} t^{-d_s/2} \quad \forall t > 0, \quad (2.14)$$

*by some  $c_{2.7} > 0$  and  $0 < d_s \leq n$ . Then, there exists  $c_{2.8} > 0$  such that the following holds,*

$$\|P_t^{\tilde{\mathcal{E}}}\|_{1 \rightarrow \infty} \leq \begin{cases} c_{2.8} t^{-n/2}, & \forall t \in (0, 1], \\ c_{2.8} t^{-d_s/2}, & \forall t \in [1, \infty). \end{cases} \quad (2.15)$$

We will prove this in the next section.

## 3. PROOF

## 3.1. Proof of Proposition 2.8

In this subsection, we will prove Proposition 2.4. The extension operator in this proposition is the one studied in [12] in order to extend Besov space  $B_{\beta}^{p,q}(F)$  into the classical Besov space  $A_{\beta}^{p,q}(\mathbf{R}^n)$  for  $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ ,  $(n-d)/p < \beta \leq 1 + (n-s)/p$ , which we apply for the case  $p=2, q=\infty$ . We will explain it following [12] and [15]. Note that we will prove Proposition 2.4 for the case  $F_{\mathcal{Q}} = \mathbf{R}^n$  (i.e.  $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{Q}_0$ ) as the rest of the cases then follow easily. Note also that because any  $\alpha > 1$  give equivalent spaces for  $\text{Lip}(\beta, 2, \infty)(F)$ , we will take  $\alpha=2$  in this section (as it is convenient for the following Whitney decomposition).

We first prepare the Whitney decomposition of the complement of  $F$ , which has the following properties. It consists of a collection of closed cubes  $\{Q_i\}_{i \in \mathbf{N}}$ , with mutually disjoint interiors and sides parallel to the axes so that  $F^c = \bigcup_i Q_i$ . We assume that the sidelength of the cubes is of the form  $2^{-M}$ ,  $M \in \mathbf{Z}$ . Denote the center of  $Q_i$  by  $x_i$ , its diameter by  $l_i$  and its sidelength by  $s_i$ . Then  $s_i = l_i/\sqrt{n} \in \{2^{-M}: M \in \mathbf{Z}\}$ . This decomposition further has the following properties,

$$l_i \leq d(Q_i, F) \leq 4l_i, \quad (3.1)$$

$$Q_i \cap Q_j \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow l_i/4 \leq l_j \leq 4l_i, \quad (3.2)$$

where  $d(Q_i, F)$  is the Euclidean distance from  $Q_i$  to  $F$ . Let  $0 < \varepsilon < 1/4$  and put  $Q_i^* = (1 + \varepsilon) Q_i$ . Note that by the above properties of  $\{Q_i\}$ , each point in  $F^c$  is contained in at most  $N_0(n)$  (which depends only on the Euclidean dimension) cubes  $Q_i^*$  and,  $Q_i^* \cap Q_j \neq \emptyset$  if and only if  $Q_i \cap Q_j \neq \emptyset$ . To this decomposition, we associate a partition of unity, consisting of non-negative functions  $\{\varphi_i\}_{i \in \mathbf{N}}$  such that  $\varphi_i|_{(Q_i^*)^c} = 0$ ,  $\sum_i \varphi_i(x) = 1 \ \forall x \in F^c$ , and

$$|D^k \varphi_i(x)| \leq A_k (l_i)^{-|k|} \quad \forall x \in \mathbf{R}^n, \quad i \in \mathbf{N}, \quad k \in (\mathbf{N} \cup \{0\})^n, \quad (3.3)$$

for some constant  $A_k > 0$  depending only on  $k$ . Here, for  $k = (k_1, \dots, k_n)$ , we set  $D^k = (\partial^{k_1}/\partial x_1^{k_1}) \dots (\partial^{k_n}/\partial x_n^{k_n})$  and  $|k| = k_1 + \dots + k_n$ . For the moment, we only need (3.3) for  $|k| = 0, 1$ .

We are now ready to define the extension operator  $\xi$ . Set  $m_i = \mu(B(x_i, 6l_i))^{-1}$ . Note that when  $l_i = \sqrt{n} 2^{-v}$  for  $v \in \mathbf{N}$ , we have by (2.3) that

$$m_i \leq c_{3,1} 2^{vs}, \quad (3.4)$$



where  $c_{3,1}$  only depends on  $n$ . Now, for  $f \in L^2(F, d\mu)$ , define

$$\xi f(x) = \sum_{i \in I} \varphi_i(x) m_i \int_{\|t - x_i\| \leq 6l_i} f(t) d\mu(t) \quad \forall x \in F^c,$$

where  $I \equiv \{i \in \mathbf{N} : s_i \leq 1\}$ . The concrete value 6 is not important; it is enough to choose sufficiently large number  $\alpha_0$  so that  $\mu(\{t : \|t - x_i\| \leq \alpha_0 l_i\} \cap F)$  is bounded away from 0. For each fixed  $x \in F^c$ , there are only finite number of  $\varphi_i$  where  $\varphi_i(x) \neq 0$  so that  $\xi f$  is well defined and  $C^\infty(F^c)$ . Further, by (3.2) and by the definition of  $I$ ,  $\xi f(x) = 0$  if  $x \in Q_j$ ,  $s_j > 4$ . Thus, if  $f \in C_0(F)$ , then  $\xi f \in C_b^\infty(F^c)$  where  $C_b^\infty(F^c)$  is a space of infinitely differentiable bounded supported functions on  $F^c$ . Before giving more properties of  $\xi f$ , we note the following,

$$Q_i \cap Q_j \neq \emptyset, \quad \|t - x_j\| \leq 6l_j \Rightarrow \|t - x_i\| \leq 30l_i, \quad (3.5)$$

$$Q_i \cap Q_j \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow m_i \leq c_{3,2} m_j, \quad (3.6)$$

for each  $i, j \in \mathbf{N}$  where  $c_{3,2}$  is a positive constant. The former is because

$$\|t - x_i\| \leq \|t - x_j\| + \|x_j - x_i\| \leq 6l_j + l_i + l_j \leq 30l_i,$$

where we use (3.2) in the last inequality. Using this, the latter assertion comes by using (2.1).

We next introduce two lemmas from [15] (they are also used in [12]). For the proof, we refer readers to [15] (in fact they are easy exercises). Define

$$J(x_i, x_j) = \left\{ m_i m_j \iint_{\substack{\|t - x_i\| \leq 30l_i \\ \|s - x_j\| \leq 30l_j}} |f(t) - f(s)|^2 d\mu(t) d\mu(s) \right\}^{1/2}.$$

**LEMMA 3.1.** *Let  $x \in Q_i$ ,  $y \in Q_j$  so that  $s_i, s_j \leq 1/4$ . Then there exist positive constants  $c_{3,3} \sim c_{3,6}$  ( $c_{3,4}$  and  $c_{3,6}$  depend on  $k$ ) such that the following hold.*

1.  $|\xi f(x) - \xi f(y)| \leq c_{3,3} J(x_i, x_j).$
2.  $|D^k(\xi f)(x)| \leq c_{3,4} l_i^{-|k|} J(x_i, x_j) \quad \forall |k| > 0, \forall j \in \mathbf{N}.$
3.  $|\xi f(x) - b| \leq c_{3,5} \{m_i \int_{\|t - x_i\| \leq 30l_i} |f(t) - b|^2 d\mu(t)\}^{1/2} \quad \forall b \in \mathbf{R}.$
4.  $|D^k(\xi f)(x)| \leq c_{3,6} l_i^{-|k|} \{m_i \int_{\|t - x_i\| \leq 30l_i} |f(t)|^2 d\mu(t)\}^{1/2} \quad \forall |k| \geq 0.$

Using this lemma, we can show that if  $f \in C_0(F)$ , then  $\xi f (\in C_b^\infty(F^c))$  is uniformly continuous on  $F^c$  and therefore can be extended continuously

to  $\partial F$ . Indeed, it is enough to show the uniform continuity on  $F_{\delta'} \equiv \{y \in F^c : d(y, F) \leq \delta'\}$  for small  $\delta' > 0$ . By the uniform continuity of  $f$ , we see that  $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists \delta > 0$  such that if  $t, s \in F$  and  $\|t - s\| < \delta$ , then  $|f(t) - f(s)| < \varepsilon$ . Now, take  $x, y \in F_{\delta'}$ ,  $\|x - y\| \leq \delta/2$  and assume  $x \in Q_i$ ,  $y \in Q_j$ . By taking  $\delta'$  small enough, we can take  $30l_i \vee 30l_j < \delta/6$  (due to (3.1)). Then,  $\|x_i - x_j\| \leq l_i + l_j + \|x - y\| < 2\delta/3$ . Thus, for  $t, s \in F$  with  $\|t - x_i\| \leq 30l_i$ ,  $\|s - x_j\| \leq 30l_j$ , we have  $\|t - s\| \leq \|t - x_i\| + \|x_i - x_j\| + \|s - x_j\| < \delta$  so that  $|f(t) - f(s)| < \varepsilon$ . Applying this to the above lemma (1),

$$|\xi f(x) - \xi f(y)|^2 \leq c_{3.3}^2 \varepsilon^2 \frac{\mu(B(x_i, 30l_i)) \mu(B(x_j, 30l_j))}{\mu(B(x_i, 6l_i)) \mu(B(x_j, 6l_j))} \leq c' \varepsilon^2,$$

where we use (2.1) in the second inequality, and the uniform continuity is proved. Thus, we will regard  $\xi f \in C_0(\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \text{Int } F)$  in the following. Let  $A_i$  denote the union of those cubes  $Q_i$  which have sides of length  $s_i = 2^{-i}$ . The second lemma from [15] is the following.

**LEMMA 3.2.** *Let  $a > 0$ , and let  $h$  be a non-negative function defined on  $F$ . Let  $g$  be given by*

$$g(x) = \int_{\|t - x_i\| \leq al_i} h(t) d\mu(t) \quad \forall x \in \text{Int } Q_i, \quad s_i = 2^{-v}.$$

*Then, for  $x_0 \in \mathbf{R}^n$  and  $0 < r \leq \infty$ ,*

$$\int_{\substack{x \in A_v \\ \|x - x_0\| \leq r}} g(x) dx \leq c_{3.7} 2^{-vn} \int_{\|t - x_0\| \leq r + c_{3.8} 2^{-v}} h(t) d\mu(t).$$

*In particular for  $r = \infty$ ,*

$$\int_{x \in A_v} g(x) dx \leq c_{3.7} 2^{-vn} \int h(t) d\mu(t).$$

*Here the constants  $c_{3.7}, c_{3.8}$  depend only on  $a$  and  $n$ .*

Define  $\xi f(x) = f(x)$  for  $x \in \text{Int } F$ . In order to complete the proof of Proposition 2.4, it is enough to prove that  $\sum_{D \in \mathcal{D}} a_D \int_D |\nabla(\xi f)(x)|^2 dx < \infty$  and  $\xi f|_{\partial F} = f$ . Note that by (2.6) we have for each  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  and for each  $v \geq 0$ ,

$$\iint_{\|x - y\| < c_0 2^{-v}} |f(x) - f(y)|^2 d\mu(x) d\mu(y) < M 2^{-v(2\beta + s)}, \quad (3.7)$$

where  $M > 0$  is a constant depending only on  $f$  and not on  $v$ . For the case of (2.8), for each  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  and for each  $v \geq 0$ ,

$$\iint_{\|x-y\| < c_0 2^{-v}} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|^2}{n_v(x) n_v(y)} d\mu(x) d\mu(y) < M 2^{-v(2\beta-n)}.$$

As  $n_v(x) \leq c_{2.6} 2^{-vd} \forall x \in F$  (due to (2.7)), we have

$$\iint_{\|x-y\| < c_0 2^{-v}} |f(x) - f(y)|^2 d\mu(x) d\mu(y) < M' 2^{-v(2\beta-n+2d)}. \quad (3.8)$$

*Proof of  $\sum_{D \in \mathcal{D}} a_D \int_D |\nabla(\xi f)(x)|^2 dx < \infty$ .* Noting that  $\xi f(x) = 0$  if  $x \in \Delta_v$ ,  $v \leq -3$ , what we need to show is the following:

$$\sum_{v=-2}^{\infty} \int_{\Delta_v} a_{\Delta_v} \sum_{|j|=1} |D^j(\xi f)(x)|^2 dx < \infty, \quad (3.9)$$

where  $a_{\Delta_v} \equiv \sup_{Q_i \subset D, \exists Q_i \in \Delta_v} a_D$ .

Using Lemma 3.1(2) with  $i = j$  and (3.4), we have for  $x \in Q_i \subset \Delta_v$ ,  $v \geq 2$  and  $|j| = 1$ ,

$$|D^j(\xi f)(x)|^2 \leq c 2^{2v(1+s)} \iint_{\substack{\|t-x_i\| \leq 30l_i \\ \|t-s\| \leq c' 2^{-v}}} |f(t) - f(s)|^2 d\mu(t) d\mu(s),$$

where we use the fact that if  $\|s - x_i\| \leq 30l_i$ ,  $\|t - x_i\| \leq 30l_i$  then  $\|s - t\| \leq 60l_i \leq c' 2^{-v}$  for some  $c'$  which depends only on  $n$ . Using Lemma 3.2 with  $a = 30$ ,  $r = \infty$  and the integral w.r.t.  $s$  above as  $h(t)$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{v=2}^{\infty} a_{\Delta_v} \int_{\Delta_v} \sum_{|j|=1} |D^j(\xi f)(x)|^2 dx \\ & \leq c'' \sum_{v=2}^{\infty} a_{\Delta_v} 2^{v(2+2s-n)} \iint_{\|t-s\| \leq c' 2^{-v}} |f(t) - f(s)|^2 d\mu(t) d\mu(s) \\ & \leq c'' M \sum_v a_{\Delta_v} 2^{v(2(1-\beta)+s-n)} < \infty, \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

where we use (3.7), (2.5) and the fact  $\sup_v a_{\Delta_v} < \infty$  in the last inequality. For the case of (3.8), the last term is  $c'' M \sum_v a_{\Delta_v} 2^{2v(1-\beta+s-d)}$  which is finite when  $\beta > 1 + s - d$ .

For  $-2 \leq v \leq 2$ , using Lemma 3.1(4) and Lemma 3.2 with  $r = \infty$ , we have

$$\int_{\Delta_v} \sum_{|j|=1} |D^j(\xi f)(x)|^2 dx \leq c \|f\|_{\mathbf{L}^2}$$

so that (3.9) is proved.  $\blacksquare$

*Proof of  $\xi f|_{\partial F} = f$ .* As  $\xi f \in C_0(\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \text{Int } F)$  and  $f \in C_0(F)$ , it is enough to show that for each  $x_0 \in \partial F$ ,

$$\lim_{\substack{x \rightarrow x_0 \\ x \in F^c}} \xi f(x) = f(x_0). \quad (3.11)$$

For small  $\delta > 0$  and  $x_0 \in \partial F$ , take  $x \in F^c$  such that  $\|x - x_0\| < \delta$ . If  $\varphi_i(x) \neq 0$ , then  $x \in Q_i^*$  so that  $\|x - x_i\| \leq (1 + \varepsilon) l_i/2 \leq l_i$ . By (3.1),  $(1 - \varepsilon) l_i \leq d(Q_i, F) \leq \|x - x_0\| < \delta$ . Using these facts, we have for  $\|t - x_i\| \leq 6l_i$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|t - x_0\| &\leq \|t - x_i\| + \|x_i - x\| + \|x - x_0\| \\ &\leq 6l_i + l_i + \delta \leq \left( \frac{7}{1 - \varepsilon} + 1 \right) \delta \leq 11\delta. \end{aligned}$$

Now, as  $f$  is uniformly continuous,  $\forall \varepsilon, \exists \delta'$  such that if  $t, s \in F$  and  $\|t - s\| < \delta'$ , then  $|f(t) - f(s)| < \varepsilon$ . Take  $\delta < \delta'/11$ . By the above fact, if  $\varphi_i(x) \neq 0$ , we have

$$m_i \int_{\|t - x_i\| \leq 6l_i} |f(t) - f(x_0)| \, d\mu(t) \leq m_i \mu(B(x_i, 6l_i)) \varepsilon = \varepsilon.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} |\xi f(x) - f(x_0)| &\leq \sum_{i \in I} \varphi_i(x) m_i \int_{\|t - x_i\| \leq 6l_i} |f(t) - f(x_0)| \, d\mu(t) \\ &\leq \varepsilon \sum_i \varphi_i(x) \leq \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

so that (3.11) is proved.  $\blacksquare$

*Remark 3.3.* By (3.10), we see that we can relax the condition  $\sup_D a_D < \infty$  to the following:

$$\sum_v a_{A_v} 2^{v(2(1-\beta) + s - n)} < \infty. \quad (3.12)$$

### 3.2. Proof of Proposition 2.8, 2.10, and Theorem 2.11

*Proof of Proposition 2.8.* We note that (1) can be proved by extending the argument in [14] Section 5, 6 to our framework, which is a good exercise. So here we only prove (2). First, we will show

$$C' = C_0^\infty(F^c) \oplus \{ \xi f : f \in \mathcal{F} \cap C_0(F) \} \subset C,$$

is dense in  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$  (w.r.t.  $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1$ ). By Lemma 2.2(3) and Proposition 2.4, we know that  $C' \subset \mathcal{D}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}})$ . Thus, it is enough to show that  $C'$  is dense in  $\mathcal{D}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}})$ . take  $f \in \mathcal{D}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}})$  arbitrary. Then, by (2.10) and Proposition 2.4, we see that  $f - \xi(f|_F) \in W^{1,2}(F^c) \cap C_0(F^c)$ . Thus, there is a sequence  $\{f_n\}_n \subset C_0^\infty(F^c)$  such that  $f_n \rightarrow f - \xi(f|_F)$  in  $W^{1,2}(F^c)$ . As  $f - \xi(f|_F) = 0$  in  $F$ , we have  $\{f_n + \xi(f|_F)\} \subset C'$  and  $f_n + \xi(f|_F) \rightarrow f - \xi(f|_F) + \xi(f|_F) = f$  in  $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1$  which shows that  $C'$  is dense in  $\mathcal{D}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}})$ . Now the proof is completed once we show

$$\{\xi f : f \in \mathcal{F}\} \subset \tilde{\mathcal{F}}. \quad (3.13)$$

But under Assumption 2.6 and  $s > n - 2$ ,  $\xi$  can be extended to a continuous operator from  $\text{Lip}(\beta, 2, \infty)(F)$  to  $B_{\beta+(n-s)/2}^{2,\infty}(\mathbf{R}^n)$  with  $R(\xi f) = f\mu$ -a.e. (see, for example, Corollary 1 in [13]). On the other hand, by (2.5) and the Sobolev type imbedding theorem,  $B_{\beta+(n-s)/2}^{2,\infty}(\mathbf{R}^n) \subset W^{1,2}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ . Using the facts, (3.13) is easily proved. ■

*Proof of Proposition 2.10.* Note that by Theorem 1.6.1 of [8], (a) is equivalent to the following two conditions,

$$f \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}} \Rightarrow 1_F f \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \quad (3.14)$$

$$u, v \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}} \Rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(u, v) = \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(1_F u, 1_F v) + \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(1_{\mathbf{R}^n \setminus F} u, 1_{\mathbf{R}^n \setminus F} v). \quad (3.15)$$

For (b)  $\Rightarrow$  (a), we first prove (3.14) and (3.15) for  $f, u, v \in \mathcal{D}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}})$ . Let  $K = \text{Supp } f$  which is compact. From (b), there exists a sequence of open sets  $O_l$  which contains  $F' \cap K$  and  $\text{Cap}_{\mathbf{R}^n} O_l \leq 1/l$ . Thus, for each  $l$ , there exist  $\{g_m^{(l)}\}_m \subset W^{1,2}(\mathbf{R}^n) \cap C_0(\mathbf{R}^n)$  such that  $0 \leq g_m^{(l)} \leq 1$ ,  $g_m^{(l)}|_{O_l} = 1$  and  $\lim_m \mathcal{E}_1^{\mathbf{R}^n}(g_m^{(l)}, g_m^{(l)}) = \text{Cap}_{\mathbf{R}^n} O_l$ . We can thus take  $g_l \in W^{1,2}(\mathbf{R}^n) \cap C_0(\mathbf{R}^n)$  so that  $\mathcal{E}_1^{\mathbf{R}^n}(g_l, g_l) \leq 2/l$  for all  $l$ . By taking a subsequence, if necessary,  $g_l \rightarrow 0$   $m$ -a.e.. Thus,  $fg_l \rightarrow f1_F$   $\tilde{m}$ -a.e.. Noting that  $fg_l \in \mathcal{D}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}})$  and  $fg_l|_{F'} = f$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1(fg_l, fg_l) &\leq c_1 \mathcal{E}_1^{\mathbf{R}^n}(fg_l, fg_l) + \mathcal{E}_1^F(f, f) \\ &\leq 2c_1 \|f\|_\infty^2 \mathcal{E}_1^{\mathbf{R}^n}(g_l, g_l) + 2c_1 \mathcal{E}^{\mathbf{R}^n}(f, f) + \mathcal{E}_1^F(f|_F, f|_F), \end{aligned}$$

where  $c_1 = \sup_D a_D < \infty$ . As the right hand side is uniformly bounded w.r.t.  $l$ , we have by Banach–Alaoglu and Banach–Saks theorems that there exists a subsequence  $\{g_{n_k}\}_k$  such that  $fg_{n_k} \equiv f(1/N) \sum_{k=1}^N g_{n_k} \rightarrow f1_F$  in  $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1$  as  $l \rightarrow \infty$ , which proves (3.14). For (3.15), it is enough to prove  $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}(1_F u, 1_{\mathbf{R}^n \setminus F} v) = 0$ . Take  $\{u_n\}, \{v_n\} \subset \mathcal{D}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}})$  such that  $u_n \rightarrow 1_F u$  in  $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1$  and  $\tilde{m}$ -a.e.,  $v_n \rightarrow 1_{\mathbf{R}^n \setminus F} v$  in  $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1$  and  $\tilde{m}$ -a.e.. Then,  $u_n \rightarrow 0$  in  $\mathcal{E}_1^{\mathbf{R}^n}$ , because  $\{u_n\}$  is  $\mathcal{E}_1^{\mathbf{R}^n}$ -Cauchy and  $u_n \rightarrow 0$   $m$ -a.e.. By the same reason,  $v_n|_F \rightarrow 0$  in  $\mathcal{E}_1^F$ . Thus,

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}(1_F u, 1_{\mathbf{R}^n \setminus F} v) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{\mathcal{E}^{\mathbf{R}^n}(u_n, v_n) + \mathcal{E}^F(u_n|_F, v_n|_F)\} = 0,$$

and we obtain the result. Now, by approximation, we can easily prove (3.14) and (3.15) for  $f, u, v \in \mathcal{F}$ .

We next show (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b). As  $(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}})$  is a local regular Dirichlet form on  $\mathbf{R}^n$ , for each  $l \in \mathbf{N}$ , we have  $g_l \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}} \cap C_0(\mathbf{R}^n)$  such that  $g_l|_{B(0, \alpha^l)} = 1$ ,  $g_l|_{B(0, \alpha^{l+1})^c} = 0$  where  $\alpha > 1$  and  $B(0, r) = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n : \|x\| < r\}$ . Define  $F_l = F \cap B(0, \alpha^l)$ . Then, by (3.14), we have  $h_l \equiv 1_{F_l} g_l \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ . Note that  $h_l|_{F^c} = 0$ ,  $h_l|_{F_l} = 1$  and  $h_l|_{F_{l+1}^c} = 0$ . Let  $\tilde{h}_l$  be a quasi-continuous modification of  $h_l$  and set  $F'_l = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n : \tilde{h}_l(x) \neq 0\}$ . As  $\tilde{h}_l = h_l$   $\tilde{m}$ -a.e.,  $F_l \subset F'_l \subset F_{l+1}$   $\tilde{m}$ -a.e., especially,  $m(F'_l) = 0$  (due to (2.11)). Further,  $F'_l$  is quasi-open. Therefore  $\text{Cap}_{\mathbf{R}^n}(F'_l) = 0$ . Taking  $F' = \bigcup_l F'_l$ , we have  $F = F'$   $\tilde{m}$ -a.e. and  $\text{Cap}_{\mathbf{R}^n}(F') = 0$ .

Finally, we will show (b)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (c). It is known that when  $F$  satisfies (2.10), (c) is equivalent to  $\text{Cap}_{\mathbf{R}^n} F = 0$  (see, for example, remark after Lemma 5.1 in [14]). Thus (c)  $\Rightarrow$  (b) holds. On the other hand, assume  $s > n - 2$  and let  $F'$  equals to  $F$   $\tilde{m}$ -a.e.. Then  $F' \cap F$  also satisfies (2.9), thus the Hausdorff dimension of  $F' \cap F$  is  $s$ . Using the above fact again, we obtain  $\text{Cap}_{\mathbf{R}^n}(F' \cap F) > 0$  so that  $\text{Cap}_{\mathbf{R}^n} F' > 0$ . This proves (b)  $\Rightarrow$  (c). ■

As the proof suggests, the equivalence between (a) and (b) holds under milder condition for  $\mu$ .

*Proof of Theorem 2.11.* By (2.14) and by the property of Brownian motion on  $\mathbf{R}^n$ , we can apply [7] Theorem (2.1) and have the following,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(F, d\mu)}^{2+4/d_s} &\leq c_1 \mathcal{E}(f, f) \|f\|_{\mathbf{L}^1(F, d\mu)}^{4/d_s} & \forall f \in \mathbf{L}^2(F, d\mu), \\ \|f\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\mathbf{R}^n, dx)}^{2+4/n} &\leq c_2 \mathcal{E}^{\mathbf{R}^n}(f, f) \|f\|_{\mathbf{L}^1(\mathbf{R}^n, dx)}^{4/n} & \forall f \in \mathbf{L}^2(\mathbf{R}^n, dx). \end{aligned}$$

We thus obtain

$$\|f\|_{\mathbf{L}^2}^2 \leq c_1 \mathcal{E}(f, f)^{d_s/(d_s+2)} \|f\|_{\mathbf{L}^1}^{4/(d_s+2)} \leq c_1 \left( \frac{\mathcal{E}(f, f)}{\|f\|_{\mathbf{L}^1}^2} \right)^{d_s/(d_s+2)} \|f\|_{\mathbf{L}^1}^2,$$

for all  $f \in \mathbf{L}^2(F, d\mu)$  and a similar estimate holds for  $\mathcal{E}^{\mathbf{R}^n}$ . Therefore, for each  $g \in \mathbf{L}^2(F, d\tilde{m})$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} &\|g\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\mathbf{R}^n, d\tilde{m})}^2 \\ &\leq 4(\|g\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(F, d\mu)}^2 \vee \|g\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\mathbf{R}^n, dx)}^2) \\ &\leq 4(c_1 \mathcal{E}(g, g)^{d_s/(d_s+2)} \|g\|_{\mathbf{L}^1(F, d\mu)}^{4/(d_s+2)} \vee c_2 \mathcal{E}^{\mathbf{R}^n}(g, g)^{n/(n+2)} \|g\|_{\mathbf{L}^1(\mathbf{R}^n, dx)}^{4/(n+2)}) \\ &\leq 4(c_1 \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(g, g)^{d_s/(d_s+2)} \|g\|_{\mathbf{L}^1(\mathbf{R}^n, d\tilde{m})}^{4/(d_s+2)} \vee \frac{c_2}{M^{n/(n+2)}} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(g, g)^{n/(n+2)} \|g\|_{\mathbf{L}^1(\mathbf{R}^n, d\tilde{m})}^{4/(n+2)}) \\ &\leq c_3 \left\{ \left( \frac{\tilde{\mathcal{E}}(g, g)}{\|g\|_{\mathbf{L}^1(\mathbf{R}^n, d\tilde{m})}^2} \right)^{d_s/(d_s+2)} + \left( \frac{\tilde{\mathcal{E}}(g, g)}{\|g\|_{\mathbf{L}^1(\mathbf{R}^n, d\tilde{m})}^2} \right)^{n/(n+2)} \right\} \|g\|_{\mathbf{L}^1(\mathbf{R}^n, d\tilde{m})}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Here  $M = \inf_D a_D > 0$  and we apply the above facts in the second and the last inequality. We thus obtain the result using Corollary (2.12) in [7]. ■

#### 4. DIRICHLET FORMS ON FRACTALS AND THEIR DOMAINS

In this section, we will consider nested fractals and Sierpinski carpets and show that the Dirichlet forms on them satisfy (2.6).

##### 4.1. Nested fractals and Sierpinski carpets

For  $\alpha > 1$ , let  $\{\Psi_i\}_{i=1}^N$  be  $\alpha$ -similitude maps on  $\mathbf{R}^n$ , i.e.  $\Psi_i \mathbf{x} = \alpha^{-1} U_i \mathbf{x} + \gamma_i$ ,  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{R}^n$  for some unitary maps  $U_i, \gamma_i \in \mathbf{R}^n$ . We assume without loss of generality that  $\Psi_1(\mathbf{x}) = \alpha^{-1} \mathbf{x}$ . We also assume the *open set condition* for  $\{\Psi_i\}_{i=1}^N$ , i.e. there is a non-empty, bounded open set  $W$  such that  $\{\Psi_i(W)\}_i$  are disjoint and  $\bigcup_{i=1}^N \Psi_i(W) \subset W$ . As  $\{\Psi_i\}_{i=1}^N$  is a family of contraction maps, there exists a unique non-void compact set  $\hat{F}$  such that  $\hat{F} = \bigcup_{i=1}^N \Psi_i(\hat{F})$ . We will consider the case  $\hat{F}$  is connected. Denote  $\Psi_{i_1, \dots, i_n} = \Psi_{i_1} \circ \dots \circ \Psi_{i_n}$  and  $S = \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ . We will call the set  $\Psi_{i_1, \dots, i_n}(\hat{F})$  an  $n$ -complex. We sometimes identify an  $n$ -complex and the corresponding element of  $S^n$ . Define  $F = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha^n \hat{F}$ . We now give the definition of nested fractals and Sierpinski carpets following [20] and [5].

1. *Nested fractals.* Let  $V$  be the set of fixed points of the  $\Psi_i$ 's,  $i \in S$ . A point  $x \in V$  is called an essential fixed point if there exist  $i, j \in S$ ,  $i \neq j$  and  $y \in V$  such that  $\Psi_i(x) = \Psi_j(y)$ . We write  $V_0$  for the set of essential fixed points. Define  $F_0$  the convex hull of  $V_0$ . Set  $V_n = \bigcup_{i_1, \dots, i_n=1}^N \Psi_{i_1, \dots, i_n}(V_0)$ . Note that  $\hat{F} = \text{Cl}(\bigcup_{n \geq 0} V_n)$ . Then,  $F(\hat{F})$  is called a (compact) nested fractal if the following holds in addition to the above conditions:

(NF1) (Symmetry). If  $x, y \in V_0$ , then reflection in the hyperplane  $H_{xy} = \{z: |z-x| = |z-y|\}$  maps  $V_n$  to itself.

(NF2) (Nesting). If  $\{i_1, \dots, i_n\}$ ,  $\{j_1, \dots, j_n\}$  are distinct sequences, then

$$\Psi_{i_1, \dots, i_n}(\hat{F}) \cap \Psi_{j_1, \dots, j_n}(\hat{F}) = \Psi_{i_1, \dots, i_n}(V_0) \cap \Psi_{j_1, \dots, j_n}(V_0).$$

Line segment is a simple example of the nested fractal, but we will exclude it here. In this paper, we also make the following assumption on nested fractals.

(NF\*) There exists  $k_0 > 0$  satisfying the following for all  $m \geq 0$ :

If  $x, y \in \hat{F}$  satisfy  $\|x - y\| \leq k_0 \alpha^{-m}$ , then  $x, y$  join either in the same  $m$ -complex or adjacent  $m$ -complexes.

We remark that we can easily prove that this assumption is equivalent to Assumption 2.2 in [16]. But we do not know whether this holds for all nested fractals or not.

2. *Sierpinski carpets*. Let  $n \geq 2$ ,  $F_0 = [0, 1]^n$ , and let  $l \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $l \geq 3$  be fixed. Set  $\mathcal{S} = \{\prod_{i=1}^n [(k_i - 1)/l, k_i/l] : k_i \in \{1, \dots, l\} \ (\forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\})\}$ . We assume that each  $\Psi_i$  maps  $F_0$  onto some element of  $\mathcal{S}$  (thus  $\alpha = l$ ). Set  $F_1 = \bigcup_{i=1}^N \Psi_i(F_0)$ . We assume  $N < l^n$  to exclude the case  $F_1 = [0, 1]^n$ . Then,  $F(\hat{F})$  is called a (compact) Sierpinski carpet if the following holds in addition to the conditions mentioned above:

(SC1) (Symmetry).  $F_1$  is preserved by all the isometries of the unit cube  $F_0$ .

(SC2) (Non-diagonality). Let  $B$  be a cube in  $F_0$  which is the union of  $2^n$  distinct elements of  $\mathcal{S}$ . (So  $B$  has side length  $2l^{-1}$ .) Then if  $\text{Int}(F_1 \cap B)$  is non-empty, it is connected.

The assumption (SC2) is included by some technical reason which is not essential. Note that the “Borders included” condition, assumed in [5], is not needed in our discussion. Note also that (NF\*) always hold for the case of the carpets.

The biggest difference between the two examples is whether the fractal is finitely ramified or not, i.e. whether it can be disconnected by removing a certain finite number of points or not (nested fractals are finitely ramified due to (NF2)). Let  $\hat{\mu}$  be a Bernoulli probability measure on  $\hat{F}$  such that  $\hat{\mu}(\Psi_i(\hat{F})) = (1/N) \ \forall i \in S$ . Also, let  $\mu$  be a Bernoulli measure on  $F$  such that  $\mu|_F = \hat{\mu}$ . Then, setting  $s = \log N / \log \alpha$ , we have for each  $x \in F$ ,  $r > 0$ ,

$$c_{4.1} r^s \leq \mu(B(x, r) \cap F) \leq c_{4.2} r^s,$$

where  $c_{4.1}, c_{4.2}$  are positive constants (same estimates hold for  $\hat{F}$  by  $\hat{\mu}$ ). Thus  $\mu(\hat{\mu})$  satisfies (2.9). Note that as  $s < n$ , we see that  $m(F) = 0$  (thus (2.11) is satisfied).

#### 4.2. Dirichlet Forms on Nested Fractals and Sierpinski Carpets

We now introduce Dirichlet forms on Sierpinski carpets and nested fractals following [19, 11] and show that their domains satisfy (2.6) for some  $\beta \geq 1$ . Note that Dirichlet forms on nested fractals can be constructed by much more elegant way (see [9, 17]). However, the following construction is more general and both of nested fractals and carpets can be treated by the construction.

For  $x, y \in S^n$ , we write  $x \stackrel{n}{\sim} y$  if the Hausdorff dimension of the set  $F_x(F_0) \cap F_y(F_0)$  equals  $d - 1$  for the carpets and if  $F_x(F_0) \cap F_y(F_0) \neq \emptyset$  for the nested fractals. Define  $q_{xy}^{(n)}$ ,  $x, y \in S^n$  by  $q_{xy}^{(n)} = 1$  if  $x \stackrel{n}{\sim} y$  and  $q_{xy}^{(n)} = 0$  otherwise. We will first consider the finite graph  $(S^n, \{q_{xy}^{(n)}\}_{x, y \in S^n})$ . Note



that this graph is connected. For a set  $A$ , denote  $l(A)$  the set of functions on  $A$ . Let  $\hat{\mathcal{E}}_{(n)}$  be a symmetric bilinear form in  $l(S^n)$  defined by

$$\hat{\mathcal{E}}_{(n)}(u, v) = \sum_{x, y \in S^n} q_{xy}^{(n)}(u(x) - u(y))(v(x) - v(y)) \quad u, v \in l(S^n).$$

Now, define a Poincaré constant as

$$\lambda_n = \sup \left\{ \sum_{x \in S^n} (u(x) - \langle u \rangle_{S^n})^2 : u \in l(S^n), \hat{\mathcal{E}}_{(n)}(u, u) = 1 \right\},$$

where we denote  $\langle u \rangle_B = (1/\#B) \sum_{x \in B} u(x)$  for any finite set  $B$  and  $u \in l(B)$ . Let  $\{P_x^{(n)}\}_{x \in S^n}$  be a Markov process on  $S^n$  which corresponds to  $\hat{\mathcal{E}}_{(n)}$ . Denoting  $T_n = \lambda_n N^n$ , let  $Q^{(n)}$  be the probability law of  $\{\Psi_w(T_n t)(0), t \in \mathbf{Q}_+\}$  under  $N^{-n} \sum_{x \in S^n} P_x^{(n)}(dw)$  where  $\mathbf{Q}_+ \equiv \mathbf{Q} \cap [0, \infty)$ .  $\{Q^{(n)}\}_{n \geq 1}$  are probability measures in  $\hat{F}^{\mathbf{Q}_+}$ . It can be proved that for each cluster point  $\tilde{Q}$  of  $\{Q^{(n)}\}$ , there is a strongly continuous symmetric Markov semigroup  $\{Q_t\}_{t \geq 0}$  in  $L^2(\hat{F}, d\hat{\mu})$  whose finite dimensional distributions on rational time coincide with those of  $\tilde{Q}$ . Denote  $\mathcal{D}ch$  be the set of Dirichlet forms associated with the cluster points of  $\{Q^{(n)}\}$ .

Now, for each  $n \geq 1$ ,  $\tilde{P}_n: L^1(\hat{F}, d\hat{\mu}) \rightarrow l(S^n)$  and  $\iota_n: l(S^n) \rightarrow L^\infty(\hat{F}, d\hat{\mu})$  be given by

$$\tilde{P}_n f(x) = \hat{\mu}(\psi_x(\hat{F}))^{-1} \int_{\psi_x(\hat{F})} f(x) \hat{\mu}(dx) \quad \forall x \in S^n, \quad \forall f \in L^1(\hat{F}, d\hat{\mu}),$$

$$\iota_n u(y) = u(x), \quad \text{if } y \in \psi_x(\hat{F}) \quad \forall x \in S^n, \quad \forall u \in l(S^n).$$

For  $n \geq 1$ , let  $\hat{\mathcal{E}}^{(n)}$  be a quadratic form in  $L^2(\hat{F}, d\hat{\mu})$  given by

$$\hat{\mathcal{E}}^{(n)}(f, g) = \lambda_n \hat{\mathcal{E}}_{(n)}(\tilde{P}_n f, \tilde{P}_n g) \quad \forall f, g \in L^2(\hat{F}, d\hat{\mu}).$$

Defining  $\hat{\mathcal{F}} = \{f: \sup_n \hat{\mathcal{E}}^{(n)}(f, f) < \infty\}$ , the following holds (see [5, 11, 19] for the proof).

LEMMA 4.1. (1) For any  $\hat{\mathcal{E}} \in \mathcal{D}ch$ , it holds that  $\mathcal{D}(\hat{\mathcal{E}}) = \hat{\mathcal{F}}$ .

(2) There exist  $c_{4.3}, c_{4.4} > 0$  such that

$$c_{4.3} \sup_n \hat{\mathcal{E}}^{(n)}(f, f) \leq \hat{\mathcal{E}}(f, f) \leq c_{4.4} \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \hat{\mathcal{E}}^{(n)}(f, f), \quad (4.1)$$

for any  $\hat{\mathcal{E}} \in \mathcal{D}ch$  and  $f \in \hat{\mathcal{F}}$ .

(3) There exist  $c_{4.5}, c_{4.6} > 0$  and  $\lambda > 0$  such that

$$c_{4.5} \lambda^n \leq \lambda_n \leq c_{4.6} \lambda^n \quad \forall n \in \mathbf{N}. \quad (4.2)$$

We can further prove the following (see [11, 19] for the proof).

**PROPOSITION 4.2.**  $(\hat{\mathcal{E}}, \hat{\mathcal{F}})$  is a local regular Dirichlet form on  $\mathbf{L}^2(\hat{F}, d\hat{\mu})$ , which satisfies (2.12).

Note that this form might not have the following scaling property

$$\hat{\mathcal{E}}(f, g) = \lambda \sum_{i=1}^N \hat{\mathcal{E}}(f \circ \Psi_i, g \circ \Psi_i), \quad \forall f, g \in \hat{\mathcal{F}}, \quad (4.3)$$

as we do not know the uniqueness of the cluster point. But using the averaging method in [19], one can reconstruct the Dirichlet form with the same domain, comparable with  $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ , and satisfies (4.3) with  $\lambda$  appeared in (4.2). For the case of nested fractals, it is proved that  $\lambda > 1$  and  $\hat{\mathcal{F}} \subset C(\hat{F})$ , the set of continuous functions on  $\hat{F}$ , but for the carpets, this does not hold when  $n$  and  $N$  are large. Define  $t_F = \lambda N$ ,  $d_w = \log t_F / \log \alpha$  and set  $\beta = d_w/2$ . It is proved that  $t_F \geq \alpha^2$  ( $t_F > 2$ , which will be used later, is also known) so that  $\beta \geq 1$ . As  $s < n$ , (2.5) is satisfied. We note that  $\{X_t\}$ , the corresponding diffusion on  $\hat{F}$ , satisfies  $E^x \|X_t - x\| \asymp t^{1/d_w} 0 < \forall t < 1$  and it is “diffusive” or “sub-diffusive” as  $d_w \geq 2$ .

We now characterize the domain of the Dirichlet form. For the case of nested fractals, such a characterization is done by [22] as a natural extension of the result of [13] for the case of the Sierpinski gasket. Their proofs rely on the fact  $\hat{\mathcal{F}} \subset C(\hat{F})$ , but in our case, the element of  $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$  is not necessarily continuous (as we include the case of higher dimensional carpets). Our proof is thus an extension of those of [13, 22].

**THEOREM 4.3.**  $\hat{\mathcal{F}} = Lip(\beta, 2\infty)(\hat{F})$ .

*Proof of  $\hat{\mathcal{F}} \subset Lip(\beta, 2\infty)(\hat{F})$ .* This part of the proof is essentially the same as [22, 13]. Let  $f \in \hat{\mathcal{F}} \cap C_0(\hat{F})$ . It is enough to show

$$\alpha^{v(2\beta+s)} \iint_{\|x-y\| < c_0 \alpha^{-v}} |f(x) - f(y)|^2 d\hat{\mu}(x) d\hat{\mu}(y) < c \hat{\mathcal{E}}(f, f), \quad (4.4)$$

for all  $v \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}$  with some  $c > 0$ , as  $\hat{\mathcal{F}} \cap C_0(\hat{F})$  is a core of the form. Consider  $f_n \equiv \tilde{P}_n f$  as an element of  $\mathbf{L}^\infty(\hat{F}, d\hat{\mu})$  which is constant on each interior of  $n$ -complexes. Then, as  $f_n$  converges uniformly to  $f$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  due to  $f \in C_0(\hat{F})$ , it is enough to show

$$\alpha^{v(2\beta+s)} \iint_{\|x-y\| < c_0 \alpha^{-v}} |f_n(x) - f_n(y)|^2 d\hat{\mu}(x) d\hat{\mu}(y) < c \hat{\mathcal{E}}(f, f), \quad (4.5)$$

for all  $n > v$  with some  $c > 0$ .

For  $x \in S^n$ , denote  $N_n(x)$  the set of  $w \in S^n$  such that  $\Psi_x(\hat{F}) \cap \Psi_w(\hat{F}) \neq \emptyset$ . Note that  $\#N_n(x) \leq M$  for some  $M > 0$  independent of  $x$  and  $n$ . By our assumption (NF\*), if  $x \in \Psi_s(\hat{F})$  for some  $s \in S^v$  and  $\|x - y\| \leq k_0 \alpha^{-v}$ , then  $y \in \Psi_{s^*}(\hat{F})$  for some  $s^* \in N_v(s)$ . Thus, taking  $c_0 = k_0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\|x-y\| < c_0 \alpha^{-v}} |f_n(x) - f_n(y)|^2 d\hat{\mu}(x) d\hat{\mu}(y) \\ & \leq N^{-2n} \sum_{s \in S^v} \sum_{s^* \in N_v(s)} \sum_{x \in S^{n-v}} \sum_{y \in S^{n-v}} (f_n(s \cdot x) - f_n(s^* \cdot y))^2, \end{aligned}$$

where we denote  $x \cdot y = x_1 \cdots x_n y_1 \cdots y_m \in S^{n+m}$  for each  $x = x_1 \cdots x_n \in S^n$  and  $y = y_1 \cdots y_m \in S^m$ . Denoting the left hand side of the above inequality by  $I_v$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_v & \leq 2N^{-2n} \sum_{s \in S^v} \sum_{s^* \in N_v(s)} \sum_{x \in S^{n-v}} \sum_{y \in S^{n-v}} \{ (f_n(s \cdot x) - f_v(s))^2 \\ & \quad + (f_v(s) - f_v(s^*))^2 + (f_n(s^* \cdot y) - f_v(s^*))^2 \} \\ & \leq cN^{-2n} \sum_{s \in S^v} \sum_{x \in S^{n-v}} \{ (f_n(s \cdot x) - f_v(s))^2 \#(S^{n-v}) \} \\ & \quad + cN^{-2n} \sum_{s \in S^v} \sum_{s^* \in N_v(s)} \{ (f_v(s) - f_v(s^*))^2 (\#(S^{n-v}))^2 \} \\ & \leq cN^{-v-n} \sum_{s \in S^v} \sum_{x \in S^{n-v}} (f_n(s \cdot x) - f_v(s))^2 \\ & \quad + cN^{-2v} \sum_{s \in S^v} \sum_{s^* \in N_v(s)} (f_v(s) - f_v(s^*))^2 \equiv J_v^1 + J_v^2, \end{aligned}$$

for some  $c > 0$ . We first estimate  $J_v^1$ . Set  $s_k = s \cdot x_1 \cdots x_k \in S^{v+k}$  for  $0 \leq k \leq n-v$ . Then  $s_0 = s$  and  $s_{n-v} = s \cdot x$ . Using the inequality  $(a+b)^2 \leq 2(a^2 + b^2)$  repeatedly, we obtain

$$(f_n(s \cdot x) - f_v(s))^2 \leq \sum_{l=0}^{n-v-1} 2^{l+1} (f_{v+l}(s_l) - f_{v+l+1}(s_{l+1}))^2.$$

Next we perform the summation of this inequality over  $x \in S^{n-v}$ , fixing  $s \in S^v$ . Then, a fixed pair  $(s_l, s_{l+1})$  of the above form appears in the term of the summand whenever  $s \cdot x$  coincides  $s_{l+1}$  up to the  $(v+l+1)$ -th place, thus  $N^{n-(v+l+1)}$ -times. We thus have

$$J_v^1 \leq cN^{-v-n} \sum_{s \in S^v} \sum_{l=0}^{n-v-1} \sum_{x \in S^l} 2^{l+1} N^{n-(v+l+1)} \\ \times \sum_{j=1}^N (f_{v+l}(s \cdot x) - f_{v+l+1}(s \cdot x \cdot j))^2.$$

Now, because  $f_{v+l}(s \cdot x) = (1/N) \sum_{k=1}^N f_{v+l+1}(s \cdot x \cdot k)$ , and each two complexes  $\Psi_{s \cdot x \cdot k}(\hat{F})$ ,  $\Psi_{s \cdot x \cdot j}(\hat{F})$  can be connected by at most  $N$ -th  $(v+l+1)$ -complexes for all  $k, j \in S$ , we have

$$\sum_{s \in S^v} \sum_{x \in S^l} \sum_{j=1}^N (f_{v+l}(s \cdot x) - f_{v+l+1}(s \cdot x \cdot j))^2 \leq c' \lambda^{-(v+l+1)} \hat{\mathcal{E}}^{(v+l+1)}(f, f),$$

for some  $c' > 0$ . It is also clear that

$$J_v^2 \leq c'' N^{-2v} \lambda^{-v} \hat{\mathcal{E}}^{(v)}(f, f).$$

Noting that  $\hat{\mathcal{E}}^{(v+l+1)}(f, f) \leq (1/c_{4.3}) \hat{\mathcal{E}}(f, f)$  (by Lemma 4.1(2)),  $\lambda = t_F/N$  and  $t_F > 2$ , we have

$$I_v \leq cc' N^{-n-v} \sum_{l=0}^{n-v-1} 2^{l+1} N^{n-(v+l+1)} (t_F/N)^{-(v+l+1)} \hat{\mathcal{E}}^{(v+l+1)}(f, f) \\ + c'' N^{-2v} (t_F/N)^{-v} \hat{\mathcal{E}}^{(v)}(f, f) \\ \leq c''' (N t_F)^{-v} \hat{\mathcal{E}}(f, f),$$

for all  $n > v$ . As  $2\beta + s = \log(t_F N)/\log \alpha$ ,

$$\alpha^{v(2\beta+s)} I_v \leq c''' \hat{\mathcal{E}}(f, f),$$

and (4.5) is proved.  $\blacksquare$

*Proof of  $\hat{\mathcal{F}} \supset Lip(\beta, 2\infty)(\hat{F})$ .* Although we do not use this part of the theorem in this paper, we will write down the proof as the proof is quite simple compared to those of [13] and [22]. Let  $f \in Lip(\beta, 2\infty)(\hat{F})$  and consider  $f_n \equiv \tilde{P}_n f$  as an element of  $L^\infty(\hat{F}, d\hat{\mu})$  as before. We will first show

$$c_1 N^{-2n} \sum_{\substack{s \in S^n \\ s^* \in N_n(s)}} (f_n(s) - f_n(s^*))^2 \\ \leq \iint_{\|x-y\| \leq c_0 \alpha^{-n}} |f(x) - f(y)|^2 d\hat{\mu}(x) d\hat{\mu}(y), \quad (4.6)$$

for some constants  $c_0, c_1 > 0$ . Indeed, taking  $c_0$  large enough, the right hand side is greater than or equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\substack{s \in S^n \\ s^* \in N_n(s)}} \int_s \int_{s^*} |f(x) - f(y)|^2 d\hat{\mu}(x) d\hat{\mu}(y) \\
&= \sum_{\substack{s \in S^n \\ s^* \in N_n(s)}} \left\{ N^{-n} \int_s f(x)^2 \hat{\mu}(x) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + N^{-n} \int_{s^*} f(y)^2 \hat{\mu}(y) - 2N^{-2n} f_n(s) f_n(s^*) \right\} \\
&= N^{-n} \sum_{\substack{s \in S^n \\ s^* \in N_n(s)}} \left\{ \int_s |f(x) - f_n(s)|^2 d\hat{\mu}(x) + \int_{s^*} |f(y) - f_n(s^*)|^2 d\hat{\mu}(y) \right\} \\
&\quad + N^{-2n} \sum_{\substack{s \in S^n \\ s^* \in N_n(s)}} (f_n(s) - f_n(s^*))^2,
\end{aligned}$$

as  $\hat{\mu}(s) = \hat{\mu}(s^*) = N^{-n}$ . We thus obtain the inequality.

Now, by (4.6) and the fact  $f \in \text{Lip}(\beta, 2\infty)(\hat{F})$ ,

$$N^{-2n} \sum_{\substack{s \in S^n \\ s^* \in N_n(s)}} (f_n(s) - f_n(s^*))^2 \leq M \alpha^{-n(2\beta+s)},$$

for some  $M > 0$ . Using this and the fact  $\lambda = t_F/N$ ,  $\alpha^{2\beta+s} = t_F N$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\mathcal{E}}^{(n)}(f, f) &= \lambda^n \hat{\mathcal{E}}_{(n)}(f_n, f_n) \leq \lambda_n \sum_{\substack{s \in S^n \\ s^* \in N_n(s)}} (f_n(s) - f_n(s^*))^2 \\
&\leq M (t_F N)^n \alpha^{-n(2\beta+s)} \leq M.
\end{aligned}$$

As  $M > 0$  is independent of  $n$ , we obtain  $f \in \hat{\mathcal{F}}$  due to (4.1).  $\blacksquare$

*Remark 4.4.* (1) In the proof of [13] and [22], they relied on the  $(d_w - d_f)$ -Hölder continuity of the Lipschitz space and the fact  $d_w - d_f > 0$ , but they are needless as we see. In fact, their proof can be applied for the case  $g \in \text{Lip}(\beta, 2\infty)(\hat{F}) \cap C_0(\hat{F})$  by the following modifications (here we use the notation of [22]).

- Instead of using Hölder continuity, use the fact

$$\frac{1}{\hat{\mu}(T_v)} \int_{T_v} (g(x) - g(p_v))^2 dv(p_v) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } v \rightarrow \infty.$$

• Take  $v$  to be an odd number and take  $T_{2i} = S_0$ ,  $T_{2i+1} = S_{2i+1}$ ,  $k=1$ . (Then, we can save  $M'$  and the sequence converges when  $t_F > 2$ , which is true for nested fractals and carpets.)

(2) As  $\hat{\mu}$  satisfies (2.9),  $f \in \hat{\mathcal{F}} = \text{Lip}(\beta, 2, \infty)(\hat{F})$  is bounded and  $(\beta - s/2)$ -Hölder continuous if  $0 < \beta - s/2 < 1$ , i.e. there is a constant  $M > 0$  such that  $|f(x)| \leq M$  and  $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq M \|x - y\|^{\beta - s/2} \quad \forall x, y \in F$ ,  $\|x - y\| \leq 1$  (see [13, Corollary 2]). This holds for nested fractals, but as mentioned before,  $f \in \hat{\mathcal{F}}$  is not even continuous in general for the carpets.

We now define Dirichlet forms on  $F$ , using the form  $(\hat{\mathcal{E}}, \hat{\mathcal{F}})$  on  $\hat{F}$  which satisfies (4.3). Set  $\hat{F}_{\langle l \rangle} = \alpha^l \hat{F}$  and define  $\sigma_l: l(\hat{F}_{\langle l \rangle}) \rightarrow l(\hat{F})$  by  $\sigma_l f(x) = f(\alpha_1^l x) = f \circ \Psi_1^{(-l)}(x) \quad \forall x \in \hat{F}$ . Set  $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{\hat{F}_{\langle l \rangle}} = \sigma_{-l} \hat{\mathcal{F}}$  and  $\hat{\mathcal{E}}_{\hat{F}_{\langle l \rangle}}(f, g) = \lambda^{-l} \hat{\mathcal{E}}(\sigma_l f, \sigma_l g) \quad \forall f, g \in \hat{\mathcal{F}}_{\hat{F}_{\langle l \rangle}}$ . It is easy to see

$$\hat{\mathcal{E}}_{\hat{F}_{\langle l-1 \rangle}}(f|_{\hat{F}_{\langle l-1 \rangle}}, f|_{\hat{F}_{\langle l-1 \rangle}}) \leq \hat{\mathcal{E}}_{\hat{F}_{\langle l \rangle}}(f, f) \quad \forall f \in \hat{\mathcal{F}}_{\hat{F}_{\langle l \rangle}}. \quad (4.7)$$

Now define

$$\mathcal{D}_F = \{f \in C_0(F) : f|_{\hat{F}_{\langle l \rangle}} \in \hat{\mathcal{F}}_{\hat{F}_{\langle l \rangle}} \quad \forall l \in \mathbf{N}, \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \hat{\mathcal{E}}_{\hat{F}_{\langle l \rangle}}(f|_{\hat{F}_{\langle l \rangle}}, f|_{\hat{F}_{\langle l \rangle}}) < \infty\},$$

$$\mathcal{E}(f, g) = \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \hat{\mathcal{E}}_{\hat{F}_{\langle l \rangle}}(f|_{\hat{F}_{\langle l \rangle}}, g|_{\hat{F}_{\langle l \rangle}}) \quad \forall f, g \in \mathcal{D}_F.$$

It is easy to show that  $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{D}_F)$  is closable in  $\mathbf{L}^2(F, d\mu)$  by using (4.7). Denote  $\mathcal{F} = \overline{\mathcal{D}_F}^{\mathcal{E}_1}$  so that  $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$  is the smallest extension of  $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{D}_F)$ . Then we have the following.

**PROPOSITION 4.5.**  *$(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$  is a local regular Dirichlet form on  $\mathbf{L}^2(F, \mu)$  which satisfies (2.12), (2.14) and the following scaling property,*

$$\mathcal{E}(f, g) = \lambda \mathcal{E}(f \circ \Psi_1, g \circ \Psi_1) \quad \forall f, g \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Indeed, the key is to show the regularity, but this is also easy by using Stone–Weierstrass’ theorem in the same way as the proof of Theorem 2.3. See [5, 16] for the proof of (2.12), (2.14).

We now prove the following.

**THEOREM 4.6.**  $\mathcal{F} \subset \text{Lip}(\beta, 2\infty)(F)$ .

*Proof.* Noting that

$$\int_{\hat{F}} \sigma_1 f(x) d\mu(x) = \frac{1}{N} \int_{\hat{F}_{\langle 1 \rangle}} f(x') d\mu(x'),$$

we have for each  $f \in \hat{\mathcal{F}}$  and  $v, l \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha^{v(2\beta+s)} \iint_{\|x-y\| < c_0 \alpha^{-v}} |\sigma_l f(x) - \sigma_l f(y)|^2 d\hat{\mu}(x) d\hat{\mu}(y) \\ &= \lambda^l \alpha^{(v-l)(2\beta+s)} \iint_{\substack{x, y \in \hat{F}_{\langle l \rangle} \\ \|x-y\| < c_0 \alpha^{l-v}}} |f(x) - f(y)|^2 d\mu(x) d\mu(y). \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

By this and (4.4), we have

$$\alpha^{(v-l)(2\beta+s)} \iint_{\substack{x, y \in \hat{F}_{\langle l \rangle} \\ \|x-y\| < c_0 \alpha^{l-v}}} |f(x) - f(y)|^2 d\hat{\mu}(x) d\hat{\mu}(y) < c \hat{\mathcal{E}}_{\hat{F}_{\langle l \rangle}}(f, f),$$

for all  $f \in \hat{\mathcal{F}}_{\hat{F}_{\langle l \rangle}}$  and  $v, l \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}$ . Taking  $v' = v - l$ , we have

$$\alpha^{v'(2\beta+s)} \iint_{\|x-y\| < c_0 \alpha^{-v'}} |f(x) - f(y)|^2 d\mu(x) d\mu(y) < c \mathcal{E}(f, f),$$

for all  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $v' \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}$  by the monotone convergence theorem, and the proof is completed. ■

Note that by the proof of Theorem 4.3 and by (4.8), we can prove that

$$\mathcal{F} = \widetilde{\text{Lip}}(\beta, 2\infty)(F),$$

where  $\widetilde{\text{Lip}}(\beta, 2, \infty)(F) (\subset \text{Lip}(\beta, 2\infty)(F))$  is a set of  $f \in \mathbf{L}^2(F, d\mu)$  such that  $\sup_{v \in \mathbf{Z}} \alpha^{v(2\beta+s)} \iint_{\|x-y\| < c_0 \alpha^{-v}} |f(x) - f(y)|^2 d\mu(x) d\mu(y) < \infty$ .

Finally, we mention that when  $s > n - 2$ , we see that  $\tilde{X}$  penetrates into  $F$  ( $\hat{F}$ ) by Theorem 2.9. Further, as the diffusion on  $F$  satisfies (2.14), we have the heat kernel estimate (2.15) by Theorem 2.11.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author thanks to Professor H. Osada for introducing him the paper [13], which made his interests back to this problem. He is also grateful to Professor S. Kusuoka for explaining him his unpublished work in 1993. He thanks the referee and Dr. M. Hino for fruitful comments in the revision stage. Last, but not least, he expresses his sincere gratitude to Professor M. T. Barlow, Professor E. A. Perkins, and the probability group in University of British Columbia for fruitful discussions and hospitality during his visit in 1998–1999.

## REFERENCES

1. D. R. Adams and L. I. Hedberg, "Function Spaces and Potential Theory," Springer, Berlin/Heidelberg, 1996.
2. M. T. Barlow, Diffusions on fractals, in "Lectures in Probability Theory and Statistics: Ecole d'été de probabilités de Saint-Flour XXV," Springer, 1998.

3. M. T. Barlow and R. F. Bass, Construction of Brownian motion on the Sierpinski carpet, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré* **25** (1989), 225–257.
4. M. T. Barlow and R. F. Bass, Transition densities for Brownian motion on the Sierpinski carpet, *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields* **91** (1992), 307–330.
5. M. T. Barlow and R. F. Bass, Brownian motion and harmonic analysis on Sierpinski carpets, *Canad. J. Math.* **51** (1999), 673–744.
6. M. T. Barlow and E. A. Perkins, Brownian motion on the Sierpinski gasket, *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields* **79** (1988), 543–624.
7. E. A. Carlen, S. Kusuoka, and D. W. Stroock, Upper bounds for symmetric Markov transition functions, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré* **23** (1987), 245–287.
8. M. Fukushima, Y. Oshima, and M. Takeda, “Dirichlet Forms and Symmetric Markov Processes,” de Gruyter, Berlin, 1994.
9. M. Fukushima, Dirichlet forms, diffusion processes and spectral dimensions for nested fractals, in “Ideas and Methods in Mathematical Analysis, Stochastics, and Applications” (Albeverio, Fenstad, Holden, and Lindstrøm, Eds.), Memory of R. Høegh-Krohn, Vol. 1, pp. 151–161, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1992.
10. P. Hajłasz, and O. Martio, Traces of Sobolev functions on fractal type sets and characterization of extension domains, *J. Funct. Anal.* **143** (1997), 221–246.
11. B. M. Hambly, T. Kumagai, S. Kusuoka, and X. Y. Zhou, Transition density estimates for diffusion processes on homogeneous random Sierpinski carpets, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, to appear.
12. A. Jonsson, Besov spaces on closed subsets of  $\mathbf{R}^n$ , *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **341** (1994), 355–370.
13. A. Jonsson, Brownian motion on fractals and function spaces, *Math. Z.* **222** (1996), 496–504.
14. A. Jonsson, Dirichlet forms and Brownian motion penetrating fractals, *Potential Anal.*, to appear.
15. A. Jonsson and H. Wallin, “Function Spaces on Subsets of  $\mathbf{R}^n$ ,” Mathematical Reports, Vol. 2, Part 1, Acad. Publ., Harwood, 1984.
16. T. Kumagai, Estimates of transition densities for Brownian motion on nested fractals, *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields* **96** (1993), 205–224.
17. S. Kusuoka, Diffusion processes on nested fractals, in “Statistical Mechanics and Fractals” (R. L. Dobrushin and S. Kusuoka, Eds.), Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 1567, Springer, New York, 1993.
18. S. Kusuoka, unpublished work, 1993.
19. S. Kusuoka and X. Y. Zhou, Dirichlet forms on fractals: Poincaré constant and resistance, *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields* **93** (1992), 169–196.
20. T. Lindstrøm, Brownian motion on nested fractals, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **420** **83**, 1990.
21. T. Lindstrøm, Brownian motion penetrating the Sierpinski gasket, in “Asymptotic Problems in Probability Theory, Stochastic Models and Diffusions on Fractals” (K. D. Elworthy and N. Ikeda, Eds.), pp. 248–278, Longman Scientific, Harlow, UK, 1993.
22. K. Pietruska-Paluba, Some function spaces related to the Brownian motion on simple nested fractals, preprint, 1998.
23. H. Triebel, “Fractals and Spectra Related to Fourier Analysis and Function Spaces,” Monographs in Math., Vol. 91, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1997.